COMPUTER SCIENCE STANDARDS



This document was prepared by:

Office of Career, Technical and Adult Education Nevada Department of Education 755 N. Roop Street, Suite 201 Carson City, NV 89701

Adopted by the State Board of Education / State Board for Career and Technical Education on October 4, 2013

The State of Nevada Department of Education is an equal opportunity/affirmative action agency and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, age, disability, or national origin.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION NEVADA STATE BOARD FOR CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION

Elaine Wynn	President
Allison Serafin	Vice President
Thad Ballard	Member
Dave Cook	Member
Stavan Corbett	Member
Alexis Gonzales-Black	Member
Freeman Holbrook	Member
Kevin Melcher	Member
Mark Newburn	Member
Richard Stokes	Member
Kamryn Mock	Student Representative

CTE MISSION STATEMENT:

The Office of Career, Technical and Adult Education is dedicated to developing innovative educational opportunities for students to acquire skills for productive employment and lifelong learning.

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Dale A. R. Erquiaga Superintendent of Public Instruction

Michael J. Raponi, Director Office of Career, Technical and Adult Education



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Nevada State Board of Education / Nevada Department of Education	iii
Acknowledgements / Standards Development Members / Business and Industry Validation / Project Coordinator	vii
Introduction	ix
Content Standard 1.0 – Understand the Relationship Between Hardware and Software	1
Content Standard 2.0 – Understand Concepts of Problem Solving and Algorithm Development	2
Content Standard 3.0 – Understand Programming Language Concepts	3
Content Standard 4.0 – Develop Programs	4
Content Standard 5.0 – Cultivate Good Programming Style	5
Content Standard 6.0 – Understand Standard Data Structures	6
Content Standard 7.0 – Demonstrate Knowledge of Computing in Societal Context	7
Crosswalks and Alignments	9

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development of Nevada career and technical standards and assessments is a collaborative effort sponsored by the Office of Career, Technical and Adult Education at the Department of Education and the Career and Technical Education Consortium of States. The Department of Education relies on teachers and industry representatives who have the technical expertise and teaching experience to develop standards and performance indicators that truly measure student skill attainment. Most important, however, is recognition of the time, expertise and great diligence provided by the writing team members in developing the career and technical standards for Computer Science.

STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT MEMBERS

Roger Mayo, Instructor Jon Paul Ward, Instructor

Advanced Technologies Academy, Las Vegas Advanced Technologies Academy, Las Vegas

Lee Misch, Associate Professor Kevin Mess

University of Nevada, Las Vegas College of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas

Joel Fredrickson Truckee Meadows Community College, Reno

BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY VALIDATION

All CTE standards developed through the Nevada Department of Education are validated by business and industry through one or more of the following processes: (1) the standards are developed by a team consisting of business and industry representatives; or (2) a separate review panel was coordinated with industry experts to ensure the standards include the proper content; or (3) the adoption of nationally-recognized standards endorsed by business and industry.

The Computer Science standards were validated with the adoption of the nationally recognized standards approved the Computer Science Teachers Association and course description of the College Board's Advanced Placement Computer Science A course.

PROJECT COORDINATOR

Melissa Scott, Education Programs Professional Information and Media Technologies Office of Career, Technical and Adult Education Nevada Department of Education

Introduction

The standards in this document are designed to clearly state what the student should know and be able to do upon completion of an advanced high school Computer Science program. These standards are designed for a three-credit course sequence that prepares the student for a technical assessment directly aligned to the standards.

These exit-level standards are designed for the student to complete all standards through their completion of a program of study. These standards are intended to guide curriculum objectives for a program of study.

The standards are organized as follows:

Content Standards are general statements that identify major areas of knowledge, understanding, and the skills students are expected to learn in key subject and career areas by the end of the program.

Performance Standards follow each content standard. Performance standards identify the more specific components of each content standard and define the expected abilities of students within each content standard.

Performance Indicators are very specific criteria statements for determining whether a student meets the performance standard. Performance indicators may also be used as learning outcomes, which teachers can identify as they plan their program learning objectives.

The crosswalk and alignment section of the document shows where the performance indicators support the English Language Arts and the Mathematics Common Core State Standards, and the Nevada State Science Standards. Where correlation with an academic standard exists, students in the Computer Science program perform learning activities that support, either directly or indirectly, achievement of one or more Common Core State Standards.

All students are encouraged to participate in the career and technical student organization (CTSO) that relates to their program area. CTSOs are co-curricular national associations that directly enforce learning in the CTE classroom through curriculum resources, competitive events, and leadership development. CTSOs provide students the ability to apply academic and technical knowledge, develop communication and teamwork skills, and cultivate leadership skills to ensure college and career readiness.

The Employability Skills for Career Readiness identify the "soft skills" needed to be successful in all careers, and must be taught as an integrated component of all CTE course sequences. These standards are available in a separate document.

The **Standards Reference Code** is only used to identify or align performance indicators listed in the standards to daily lesson plans, curriculum documents, or national standards.

Program Name	Standards Reference Code
Computer Science	CS

Example: CS.2.3.4

Standards	Content Standard	Performance Standard	Performance Indicator
Computer Science	2	3	4

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1.1: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE 1.1.1 Demonstrate proper use of industry-standard terminology Examine the numbers systems: binary and hexadecimal Describe machine limitations of finite representations (e.g., integer bounds, imprecision of floating-point representations, and round-off error) Describe the central processing unit (CPU) and memory 1.1.5 Compare and contrast low- and high-level programming languages	CONTE	ENT STANDARD 1.0:	UNDERSTAND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE
 1.1.2 Examine the numbers systems: binary and hexadecimal 1.1.3 Describe machine limitations of finite representations (e.g., integer bounds, imprecision of floating-point representations, and round-off error) 1.1.4 Describe the central processing unit (CPU) and memory 	PERFOR	MANCE STANDARD 1.1:	
 1.1.2 Examine the numbers systems: binary and hexadecimal 1.1.3 Describe machine limitations of finite representations (e.g., integer bounds, imprecision of floating-point representations, and round-off error) 1.1.4 Describe the central processing unit (CPU) and memory 	1.1.1	Demonstrate proper use o	of industry-standard terminology
 Describe machine limitations of finite representations (e.g., integer bounds, imprecision of floating-point representations, and round-off error) Describe the central processing unit (CPU) and memory 			
1.1.4 Describe the central processing unit (CPU) and memory	1.1.3		
		point representations, and	l round-off error)
1.1.5 Compare and contrast low- and high-level programming languages	1.1.4	Describe the central proc	essing unit (CPU) and memory
	1.1.5	Compare and contrast lov	v- and high-level programming languages

CONTENT STANDARD 2.0: UNDERSTAND CONCEPTS OF PROBLEM SOLVING AND ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT Performance Standard 2.1: Understand a Problem Description 2.1.1 Describe the problem Demonstrate the solution(s) by hand 2.1.2 Explain how to validate the solution(s) 2.1.3 PERFORMANCE STANDARD 2.2: DEVELOP AN ALGORITHM 2.2.1 Identify expected input and output 2.2.2 Utilize basic steps in algorithmic problem solving 2.2.3 Use logical thinking to create an algorithm utilizing pseudo code and/or a flow chart 2.2.4 Discuss top-down versus bottom-up development Performance Standard 2.3: Test Algorithms 2.3.1 Generate test cases and expected results Utilize test cases to walk through algorithms 2.3.2 2.3.3 Use results of the walk-through to adjust or modify algorithms

CONTENT STANDARD 3.0: UNDERSTAND PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE CONCEPTS PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3.1: UTILIZE PROGRAMMING CONSTRUCTS 3.1.1 Differentiate between syntax and semantics Incorporate primitive data types 3.1.2 3.1.3 Demonstrate input from different sources Compare and contrast constants and variables 3.1.4 3.1.5 Select and implement conditional control 3.1.6 Select and implement iteration 3.1.7 Recognize and implement sequential control 3.1.8 Demonstrate output to different destinations Design and implement user-defined data types 3.1.9 3.1.10 Select and implement recursion 3.1.11 Illustrate pointers and reference variables PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3.2: PRACTICE PROCEDURAL PROGRAMMING 3.2.1 Design functions/methods 3.2.2 Properly apply scope (i.e., global versus local) Select appropriate parameter passing by value or by reference 3.2.3 Select when to use void versus non-void functions/methods 3.2.4 PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3.3: PRACTICE OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP) 3.3.1 Describe and implement abstract data types (i.e., data and functions) 3.3.2 Employ modularity and reusability 3.3.3 Employ encapsulation and information hiding 3.3.4 Select and implement composition ("has a") and/or inheritance ("is a") 3.3.5 Apply polymorphism Establish abstract base classes and interfaces 3.3.6

CONTENT STANDARD 4.0: **DEVELOP PROGRAMS** PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4.1: USE PROPER IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES 4.1.1 Evaluate and select language and tools (i.e., development environment, IDE, debugger) Select procedural versus OOP paradigm 4.1.2 Distribute code among multiple files 4.1.3 Resolve runtime exceptions and handle errors 4.1.4 PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4.2: TEST AND DEBUG PROGRAMS 4.2.1 Employ debugging techniques (e.g., debugger, extra output statements, or hand-tracing codes) to identify and correct errors 4.2.2 Identify boundary cases and generate appropriate test data Categorize errors (e.g., compile-time, run-time, logic, etc.) 4.2.3 Test classes and libraries in isolation 4.2.4 Perform integration testing of modules from multiple programmers 4.2.5 PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4.3: ANALYZE ALGORITHMS 4.3.1 Informally compare and contrast run times (i.e., best- and worst-case scenarios) 4.3.2 Assess algorithms using Big-O notation

4 Nevada CTE Standards Released: DRAFT/2013

CONTENT STANDARD 5.0: CULTIVATE GOOD PROGRAMMING STYLE Performance Standard 5.1: Employ Coding Standards Use consistent naming conventions 5.1.1 5.1.2 Use meaningful identifiers 5.1.3 Use white space appropriately (e.g., indentation, blank lines, etc.) Use named constants appropriately 5.1.4 Implement functions/methods to perform a single task 5.1.5 5.1.6 Produce a code that is clear, concise, and easy to maintain Produce a code that compiles cleanly with no warning 5.1.7 PERFORMANCE STANDARD 5.2: DEMONSTRATE GOOD DOCUMENTATION SKILLS 5.2.1 Compose pre- and post-conditions for all functions and methods 5.2.2 Compose meaningful comments explaining critical or complex code 5.2.3 Describe program modules, variables, constants, and data types 5.2.4 Construct Unified Modeling Language (UML) class diagrams

CONTE	NT STANDARD 6.0: UNDERSTAND STANDARD DATA STRUCTURES
PERFORM	MANCE STANDARD 6.1: UTILIZE SIMPLE DATA TYPES
6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.3	Select appropriate primitive types Implement declarations and initialization Explain operators and order of operations
PERFORM	MANCE STANDARD 6.2: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF ARRAYS
6.2.1 6.2.2 6.2.3 6.2.4 6.2.5	Manipulate strings as arrays Assess implementation strategy (i.e., static or dynamic) Assess arrays (i.e., sequential, random) Search arrays (i.e., sequential, binary) Sort arrays (i.e., bubble, selection, insertion, and merge)
PERFORM	MANCE STANDARD 6.3: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF CLASSES
6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5 6.3.6 6.3.7	Compare and contrast classes and objects Implement class declaration Create constructors and destructors Implement overloaded and overridden functions/methods Apply OOP concepts, including inheritance, polymorphism, interfaces, and abstract classes Manipulate strings as objects Explore template/generics
PERFORM	MANCE STANDARD 6.4: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF LISTS
6.4.1 6.4.2 6.4.3 6.4.4 6.4.5 6.4.6 6.4.7 6.4.8 6.4.9	Use singly-linked lists Explore doubly- and circularly-linked lists Assess implementation strategy (i.e., array, dynamic) Access list with and without iterators Search lists (i.e., sequential and binary) Implement insertion and deletion functions/methods Compare and contrast sorting algorithms (i.e., bubble, selection, insertion, and merge) Select and implement stacks and queues Use iterators

6 Nevada CTE Standards Released: DRAFT/2013

CONTE	ENT STANDARD 7.0: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF COMPUTING IN SOCIETAL CONTEXT
PERFOR	MANCE STANDARD 7.1: RECOGNIZE THE SYSTEM RELIABILITY ISSUES
7.1.1 7.1.2	Research examples of system failures and their impact Explain cross-platform issues
7.1.2	
	MANCE STANDARD 7.2: EXAMINE ETHICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES
Perfor	MANCE STANDARD 7.2: EXAMINE ETHICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES
PERFOR 7.2.1	MANCE STANDARD 7.2: EXAMINE ETHICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES Debate intellectual property, patent, and copyright laws Describe the meaning of privacy in relation to the use of technology Describe conflict of interest
7.2.1 7.2.2	MANCE STANDARD 7.2: EXAMINE ETHICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES Debate intellectual property, patent, and copyright laws Describe the meaning of privacy in relation to the use of technology

CROSSWALKS AND ALIGNMENTS OF COMPUTER SCIENCE STANDARDS AND THE COMMON CORE STATE STANDARDS, THE NEVADA SCIENCE STANDARDS, AND THE COMMON CAREER TECHNICAL CORE STANDARDS

CROSSWALKS (ACADEMIC STANDARDS)

The crosswalk of the Computer Science Standards shows links to the Common Core State Standards for English Language Arts and Mathematics and the Nevada Science Standards. The crosswalk identifies the performance indicators in which the learning objectives in the Computer Science program support academic learning. The performance indicators are grouped according to their content standard and are crosswalked to the English Language Arts and Mathematics Common Core State Standards and the Nevada Science Standards.

ALIGNMENTS (MATHEMATICAL PRACTICES)

In addition to correlation with the Common Core Mathematics Content Standards, many performance indicators support the Common Core Mathematical Practices. The following table illustrates the alignment of the Computer Science Standards Performance Indicators and the Common Core Mathematical Practices. This alignment identifies the performance indicators in which the learning objectives in the Computer Science program support academic learning.

CROSSWALKS (COMMON CAREER TECHNICAL CORE)

The crosswalk of the Computer Science Standards shows links to the Common Career Technical Core. The crosswalk identifies the performance indicators in which the learning objectives in the Computer Science program support the Common Career Technical Core. The Common Career Technical Core defines what students should know and be able to do after completing instruction in a program of study. The Computer Science Standards are crosswalked to the Information Technology Career ClusterTM (IT) and the Programming & Software Development Career Pathway (IT-PRG) Career Pathway.

CROSSWALK OF COMPUTER SCIENCE STANDARDS AND THE COMMON CORE STATE STANDARDS

CONTENT STANDARD 1.0: UNDERSTAND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE

Performance Indicators		Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards
1.1.1	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.4	Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style
		are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.
1.3.1	Math: Number & Quantity – The Real Number System	
	NRN.A.2	Rewrite expressions involving radicals and rational exponents using the properties of
		exponents.
	Math: Number	& Quantity – Quantities
	NQ.A.3	Choose a level of accuracy appropriate to limitations on measurement when reporting
		quantities.

CONTENT STANDARD 2.0: UNDERSTAND CONCEPTS OF PROBLEM SOLVING AND ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT

Performance Indicators		Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards
2.2.2	Math: Algebr	a – Reasoning with Equations and Inequalities
	AREI.A.1	Explain each step in solving a simple equation as following from the equality of
		numbers asserted at the previous step, starting from the assumption that the original
		equation has a solution. Construct a viable argument to justify a solution method.

CONTENT STANDARD 3.0: UNDERSTAND PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE CONCEPTS

Performance Indicators		Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards
3.2.1	Math: Funct	ions – Interpreting Functions
	FIF.A.2	Use function notations, evaluate functions for inputs in their domains, and interpret statements that use function notation in terms of a context.
	FIF.A.3	Recognize that sequences are functions, sometimes defined recursively, whose domain is a subset of the integers.

CONTENT STANDARD 5.0: CULTIVATE GOOD PROGRAMMING STYLE

Performance Indicators		Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards
5.1.1	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.2d	Use precise language, domain-specific vocabulary and techniques such as metaphor,
		simile, and analogy to manage the complexity of the topic; convey a knowledgeable
		stance in a style that responds to the discipline and context as well as to the expertise of
		likely readers.
5.1.5	Math: Algebra -	- Creating Equations
	ACED.A.1	Create equations and inequalities in one variable and use them to solve problems.
5.1.6	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.2	Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events,
		scientific procedures/ experiments, or technical processes.
5.2.1	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.8	Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using
		advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in
		terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text
		selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any
		one source and following a standard format for citation.
5.2.3	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.4	Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style
		are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.

CONTENT STANDARD 6.0: UNDERSTAND STANDARD DATA STRUCTURES

Performance Indicators		Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards
6.1.3	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.8	Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using
		advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in
		terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text
		selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any
		one source and following a standard format for citation.
6.4.7	English Langua	ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects
	WHST.11-12.8	Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using
		advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in
		terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text
		selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any
		one source and following a standard format for citation.

CONTENT STANDARD 7.0: DEMONSTRATE KNOWLEDGE OF COMPUTING IN SOCIETAL CONTEXT

Performance Indicators	Common Core State Standards and Nevada Science Standards				
7.1.1	English Language Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects				
	WHST.11-12.7	Conduct short as well as more sustained research projects to answer a question			
		(including a self-generated question) or solve a problem; narrow or broaden the inquiry			
		when appropriate; synthesize multiple sources on the subject, demonstrating			
		understanding of the subject under investigation.			
7.2.1		English Language Arts: Reading Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects			
	RST.11-12.9	Synthesize information from a range of sources (e.g., texts, experiments, simulations)			
		into a coherent understanding of a process, phenomenon, or concept, resolving			
		conflicting information when possible.			
	English Language Arts: Speaking and Listening Standards				
	SL.11-12.4	Present information, findings, and supporting evidence, conveying a clear and distinct			
		perspective, such that listeners can follow the line of reasoning, alternative or opposing			
		perspectives are addressed, and the organization, development, substance, and style are			
		appropriate to purpose, audience, and a range of formal and informal tasks.			
7.2.2		English Language Arts: Speaking and Listening Standards			
	SL.11-12.1d	Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and			
		evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and			
		determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the			
		investigation or complete the task.			
7.2.3	English Language Arts: Speaking and Listening Standards				
	SL.11-12.4	Present information, findings, and supporting evidence, conveying a clear and distinct			
		perspective, such that listeners can follow the line of reasoning, alternative or opposing			
		perspectives are addressed, and the organization, development, substance, and style are			
		appropriate to purpose, audience, and a range of formal and informal tasks.			
		ge Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects			
	WHST.11-12.4	Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style			
		are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.			
7.2.4	English Language Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects				
	WHST.11-12.8	Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using			
		advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in			
		terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text			
		selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any			
7.2.5	one source and following a standard format for citation. English Language Arts: Writing Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects				
1.2.5	WHST.11-12.8	Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using			
	W IIS 1.11-12.0	advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in			
		terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text			
		selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any			
		one source and following a standard format for citation.			

Nevada CTE Standards Released: DRAFT/2013

ALIGNMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE STANDARDS AND THE COMMON CORE MATHEMATICAL PRACTICES

Common Core Mathematical Practices	Computer Science Performance Indicators
Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.	
2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively.	
3. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.	
4. Model with mathematics.	2.1.3; 2.2.3 5.2.1
5. Use appropriate tools strategically.	
6. Attend to precision.	
7. Look for and make use of structure.	6.1.4; 6.2.1-6.2.5
Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.	3.1.10; 3.2.1, 3.2.3

CROSSWALKS OF COMPUTER SCIENCE STANDARDS AND THE COMMON CAREER TECHNICAL CORE

	Information Technology Career Cluster™ (IT)	Performance Indicators
1.	Demonstrate effective professional communication skills and practices that enable positive customer relationships.	1.1.1
2.	Use product or service design processes and guidelines to produce a quality information technology (IT) product or service.	2.1.1 4.1.1-4.1.4; 4.2.1-4.2.5
3.	Demonstrate the use of cross-functional teams in achieving IT project goals.	
4.	Demonstrate positive cyber citizenry by applying industry accepted ethical practices and behaviors.	7.2.1-7.2.5
5.	Explain the implications of IT on business development.	7.1.1-7.1.2
6.	Describe trends in emerging and evolving computer technologies and their influence on IT practices.	
7.	Perform standard computer backup and restore procedures to protect IT information.	1.3.1, 1.4.1
8.	Recognize and analyze potential IT security threats to develop and maintain security requirements.	7.1.1-7.1.2
9.	Describe quality assurance practices and methods employed in producing and providing quality IT products and services.	5.1.1-5.1.7
10.	Describe the use of computer forensics to prevent and solve information technology crimes and security breaches.	
11.	Demonstrate knowledge of the hardware components associated with information systems.	1.1.1
12.	Compare key functions and applications of software and determine maintenance strategies for computer systems.	1.1.1-1.1.5

	Programming & Software Development Career Pathway (IT-PRG)	Performance Indicators
1.	Analyze customer software needs and requirements.	2.1.1-2.1.3
2.	Demonstrate the use of industry standard strategies and project planning to meet customer specifications.	
3.	Analyze system and software requirements to ensure maximum operating efficiency.	2.1.1-2.1.3 2.2.1
4.	Demonstrate the effective use of software development tools to develop software applications.	3.2.1-3.2.4 3.3.1-3.3.6
5.	Apply an appropriate software development process to design a software application.	2.2.1-2.2.4
6.	Program a computer application using the appropriate programming language.	3.1.1-3.1.11
7.	Demonstrate software testing procedures to ensure quality products.	2.3.1-2.3.3 4.2.1-4.2.5
8.	Perform quality assurance tasks as part of the software development cycle.	2.3.1-2.3.3
9.	Perform software maintenance and customer support functions.	
10.	Design, create and maintain a database.	6.1.1-6.1.3